

# Comparison of “Dry” Conversion Alternatives

| SCOPE                     | SFC/SSC      | PNEUMATIC    | DRY<br>MECHANICAL | DEWATERING<br>BINS | REMOTE SFC/SSC |
|---------------------------|--------------|--------------|-------------------|--------------------|----------------|
| Existing Hopper/Equipment | No           | No           | No                | Yes                | Yes            |
| Outage Length             | Long         | Long         | Long              | Minimal            | Minimal        |
| Water Content             | 10%-20%      | Dry          | Dry               | 30%                | 10%-20%        |
| Capital Cost              | Intermediate | Intermediate | Intermediate      | High               | Lowest         |
| O&M Impact                | Reduced      | Reduced      | Reduced           | Additional         | Additional     |
| Operational Complexity    | Simple       | Intermediate | Simple            | Complex            | Intermediate   |
| US Experience             | Proven       | 3 Units      | 5 Units           | Proven             | Proven         |
| World Experience          | Proven       | Limited      | Accepted          | Proven             | Proven         |